| 1  | The Honorable Richard A. Jone   |                  |
|----|---|------------------|
| 2  |   |                  |
| 3  |   |                  |
| 4  |   |                  |
| 5  |   |                  |
| 6  |   |                  |
| 7  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  |                  |
| 8  | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON<br>AT SEATTLE  |                  |
| 9  |   |                  |
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   | No. CR19-231 RAJ |
| 11 | Plaintiff,  | ORDER SCHEDULING |
| 12 | V.  | TRIAL DATE       |
| 13 | JOSUE OSORIO-GRANADENO,   |                  |
| 14 | Defendant.  |                  |
| 15 |   | •                |
| 16 | Having considered the record and the parties' agreement to schedule the trial date in         |                  |
| 17 | February 2021, and General Orders 02-20, 07-20, 08-20, 11-20, 13-20, 14-20, and 15-20 for     |                  |
| 18 | the Western District of Washington, and having heard from the parties in open court, the      |                  |
| 19 | Court FINDS that neither the trial nor in-person hearings can proceed any earlier than        |                  |
| 20 | January 2021. For the reasons detailed in the parties' agreed motion, the ends of justice     |                  |
| 21 | served by scheduling the trial in February 2021 outweigh the best interests of the public and |                  |
| 22 | the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(i), (iv).                     |                  |
| 23 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties' motion to continue the trial date                   |                  |
| 24 | (Dkt. #36) is GRANTED. The trial is scheduled for February 8, 2021, at 9:00 a.m. All          |                  |
| 25 | pretrial motions, including motions in limine, are due by January 7, 2021.                    |                  |
| 26 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between the date of this Order and the                    |                  |
| 27 | February 8, 2021, trial date is excluded in computing the time within which trial must        |                  |
| 28 | commence because the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best    |                  |

interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Failure to grant this continuance would likely make trial impossible and result in a miscarriage of justice, and would deny counsel for the defendant and government counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Id. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv). DATED this 6th day of November, 2020. Richard A Jones The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge